



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

eh

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/049,297	03/27/98	WALKER	J WD2-98-007

WALKER DIGITAL CORPORATION
FIVE HIGH RIDGE PARK
STAMFORD CT 06905-1326

LM02/1019

EXAMINER

CAUDLE, P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2765

DATE MAILED:

10/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/049,297

Applicant(s)
Walker et al

Examiner
Penny Caudle

Group Art Unit
2765



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Penny Caudle

(3) _____

(2) Steven Santisi

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 14, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 16, 22, 39, 45, 63, 69,84

Identification of prior art discussed:

Deaton et al (U.S. 5,687,322)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Agreement was reached that the proposed amendent to the claims over comes the 102 rejection over Deaton et al.
Specifically the addition of the limitation that the first discount is award to, associated with, or earned by the customer
over comes the 102 rejection of paper number 4.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Penny Caudle 10/14/99

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.